Prolotherapy is a method of injection treatment designed to stimulate healing. Many musculoskeletal injuries and pain syndromes lend themselves to prolotherapy treatment including low back and neck pain, chronic sprains and/or strains, whiplash injuries, tennis and golfer’s elbow, knee, ankle, shoulder or other joint pain, chronic ten-donitis/tendonosis, and musculoskeletal pain related to osteoarthritis. Prolotherapy works by raising growth factor levels or effectiveness to promote tissue repair or growth. It can be used years after the initial pain or problem began, as long as the patient is healthy.

This month’s article focuses on the use of prolotherapy for knee pain and injuries, including ligament and meniscal injuries, tendonitis and tendonosis, patellofemoral syndrome, and osteoarthritis pain including degenerative joint disease.

Prolotherapy Mechanism of Action Review
Prolotherapy works by causing a temporary, low grade inflammation at the site of ligament or tendon weakness (fibro-osseous junction), “tricking” the body into initialing a new healing cascade. Inflammation activates fibroblasts to the area, which synthesize precursors to mature collagen, reinforcing connective tissue. This inflammatory stimulus raises the level of growth factors to resume or initiate a new connective tissue repair sequence to complete one which had prematurely aborted or never started. Prolotherapy is also known as “regenerative injection therapy (RIT),” “non-surgical tendon, ligament, and joint reconstruction” or “growth factor stimulation injection therapy.”

Ligament Injuries Lead to Degenerative Arthritis
Osteoarthritis almost always begins as ligament weakness. Unresolved ligament sprains (overstretching) results in ligament relaxation and weakness. Relaxation of the ligament results in joint instability and a change in joint biomechanics which eventually results in osteoarthritis of that joint as bones glide over each other unevenly. The observation that bones remodel and grow in response to their mechanical environment is best explained in Wolff’s Law which states:

“Bones respond to stress by making new bone.” Tendon injuries, if unresolved, over a long period of time also have an influence on joint biomechanics and can contribute to the development of osteoarthritis.

This has been well demonstrated in the medical literature. One study of female soccer players who had sustained knee ligament injuries showed a very high percentage with knee osteoarthritis 12 years later. Another study, published in Sports Medicine, observed the increased incidence of osteoarthritis with individuals who engaged in certain sports. These included wrestlers, boxers, baseball pitchers, football players, ballet dancers, soccer players, weightlifters, cricket players, and gymnasts. Postgraduate Medicine reports in its investigation of the causes of human arthritis:

“There is no question that trauma and mechanical stress on the joint lead to the development of osteoarthritis.”

Even in veterinary medicine, it is well-established that ligament sprains favor the development of osteoarthritis in animals.

If ligament and tendon injuries are stimulated to heal, biomechanics can be restored and the downward progression of degenerative changes can be prevented or stopped. Prolotherapy can, therefore, be seen as a method to prevent or stop the arthritic process because it strengthens the joint and thus ends the need for the knee or other treated joint, to grow bone or form bone spurs (see Figure 1).

**Prolotherapy for Patients with Degenerative Arthritis**

Prolotherapy has been used successfully even after the diagnosis of osteoarthritis and degenerative joint disease. This may be because of its ability to strengthen the existing intact, but weakened, ligamentous and tendinous structures. There is also some clinical evidence that prolotherapy may help to regenerate cartilage. Reeves and Hassanein in Kansas City investigated prolotherapy in degenerative osteoarthritis with and without ACL laxity. In their double blind, placebo-controlled study, enrolled patients had either grade 2, or more, joint narrowing or grade 2, or more, osteophytytic change. In addition to subjective indexes such as visual analogue scale for pain, swelling, and frequency of leg buckling, objective gonio- metric flexion measurements as well as radiographic measures of joint narrowing and osteophytosis were taken before and after prolotherapy. Arthrometric measurements of ACL laxity were also done. The study concluded that prolotherapy treatment resulted in clinically and statistically significant improvements in knee osteoarthritis. Preliminary blinded radiographic readings (1-year) demonstrated improvement in several measures of osteoarthritic severity. ACL laxity, when present, also improved.

**Cartilage Regeneration**

Clinical evidence exists that prolotherapy can help to stimulate cartilage regeneration, although no specific controlled studies have yet been done to confirm this. Laboratory studies have demonstrated that cartilage cells respond to injury (inflammation) by changing into chondroblasts, cells capable of cell proliferation, growth, and healing. Therefore, it would be logical that in vivo use might stimulate a similar phenomenon. One case report by Dr. Ross Hauser in Oak Park, Illinois, showed clinical evidence of such a change. X-rays were taken of a patient with severe knee osteoarthritis one year apart, before and after prolotherapy treatments (see Figure 2). The patient was a 62 year old female who, when first seen, was unable to ambulate without a cane. After 12 prolotherapy sessions this patient was pain free with full mobility. Clearly, more clinical trials need to be done, and this would be a good future area of investigation.

**MRIs Can Be Misleading**

When deciding what patients are candidates for prolotherapy, do not be mislead by the MRI or use the MRI for diagnosis alone. MRI’s may show abnormalities not related to the patient’s current pain complaint and so should always be correlated to the individual patient. Many studies have documented the fact that abnormal MRI findings exist in large groups of pain-free individuals. The finding of asymptomatic changes in joint during surgery is also not uncommon. One study looked at the value of MRI’s in the treatment of knee injuries and concluded “Overall, magnetic resonance imaging diagnoses added little guidance to patient management and at times provided spurious [false] information.” So do not use an MRI alone to determine a treatment course. The MRI should be used in combination with a history of the complaint, precipitating factors or trauma, and a physical exam.

**Meniscal Injury**

The meniscus is a C-shaped region of fibrocartilage between the femur and the tibia which provides shock absorption. There is a medial and a lateral meniscus, with the medial being the more commonly injured (see Figure 3). Meniscal tears are a common diagnosis, in part because MRI’s clearly show these tears. However, as noted above, MRIs can be misleading, and this is especially true with the meniscus. A knee MRI study addressed this issue. The authors looked for meniscal abnormalities in asymptomatic, pain-free individuals aged in their 20s to 80s and found Grade 1, 2 and 3 changes present in essentially all decades, with an increase in prevalence with increasing age. 62% of individuals as...
Case Reports

Case #1
51 year-old cameraman complaining of left knee pain for 6 months which began after a two foot fall from an unstable riser at work. Two weeks after this injury, the patient was running, as was his routine, and began to notice discomfort in his left knee. Discontinuing running helped but, while at his daughter’s soccer game, he ran after a ball and a week later began to have the same pain recur in his knee and has persisted. He feels the pain in the medial aspect of his knee when going up and down stairs, worse going up, and also when walking. NSAIDs have not helped. He has been told he has a torn meniscus and arthritis causing his pain.

Medical History: No major surgeries or medical issues.

Review of Systems: No complaints other than seasonal allergies.

Medications: Claritin

Physical Exam: Left knee slightly swollen as compared to left, but without erythema or deformity. Flexion to 110 degrees, with restricted extension secondary to apparent Baker’s cyst. Mild crepitus present. +1/2 drawer sign with lateral to medial motion present. Negative McMurray’s. Tenderness present at the medial collateral ligament and pes anserianus tendons.


Prolotherapy Treatment: After 10 prolotherapy treatments one month apart, the patient felt he was 85% improved and was no longer considering a knee replacement. He reported far less pain under load and resting, better flexibility, walking down stairs easily, and no stiffness when getting up from sitting or after driving. At 2-1/2 year follow-up, he had continued stability with range of motion only mildly restricted in extension and with full range of motion in flexion.

Case #2
14 year-old male with anterior knee pain for one year after being active in several sports for many years, including basketball, football, soccer and baseball. No prior known trauma. He states he was diagnosed with Osgood-Schlatter disease and was told there was nothing he could do about it. The patient wakes up in the morning with the pain and it lasts throughout the day and has prevented him from participating in his usual sports. Subsequently, he dropped out of all his athletic activities and is not currently active in any sport yet still experiences daily pain.

Medical History and Review of Systems: Negative

Medications: None

Examination: Enlargement of the tibial tuberosity with tenderness to palpation at the patellar tendon insertion on the tuberosity bilaterally. Rest of exam within normal limits.

Prolotherapy Treatment: After one treatment to the right knee and three treatments to the left knee at 3 to 4 week intervals, patient states he is 95-100% better in both knees, and back to full sports activity. He reports he can now “do anything.” Followup at 1 and 2 years showed stable improvement with continued full return to all sports.

Case #3
32 year-old female, former Olympic Taekwondo competitor, with history of right knee pain for three years, status post ACL reconstruction (patella technique) with partial medial meniscectomy. The patient’s pain returned 1 year later and she underwent arthroscopic debridging which confirmed damage to her articular cartilage. This provided only temporary relief. She has done rehab exercise on her own but despite this, over the last year, medial knee pain has returned and is now persistent and fairly constant. The pain is aggravated by walking and activity.

Medical History and Review of Systems: Healthy, no health issues or complaints.

Medications: None


Prolotherapy Treatment: The patient was given six treatments on her right knee, approximately every 4 weeks. She felt immediate reduction in her pain starting with the first
treatment. She was able to return to teaching fitness classes, did a 100 mile cycling trip, and had continued reduction in pain with each treatment. At follow up visit one year later, the patient reports an overall 80% improvement, with exam demonstrating negative drawer sign and reduction in patellar crepitus.

Case #5
57 year-old male complaining of 3 year history of right knee pain with onset while jogging. He used to run an average of 5 km per day. At the time he was told to discontinue jogging but was subsequently never able to return to that sport. He had an MRI recently which showed a medial meniscal tear. He has continued to have pain, which has worsened over the last 3 months with increased instability and pain, and has also noticed he has begun to limp, especially when going down stairs, with sudden movements, or while hiking.

Medical History: Hernia operation age 5, otherwise no surgeries and no major illnesses.

Medications: None.

Examination: Gait mildly antalgic. Right knee exam shows normal 110 degrees of flexion, extension normal, with mild patellar crepitus and some osteophytic overgrowth, right v. left. +1/2 drawer sign with some lateral to medial motion. Mildly tender to palpation at MCL and pes anserius tendon on the right.

MRI: Grade III tear of posterior horn of the medial meniscus. Signal abnormality involving the articulating surface of the lateral femoral condyle. This could represent early stage of chondromalacia, although the possibility of a small osteochondral defect with intact overlying articulating cartilage cannot be entirely excluded.

Prolotherapy Treatment: After 6 prolotherapy treatments approximately every 4 weeks, patient reports he is “99.9% recovered.” He indicates a full return to activity, increased stability, and pain resolution.

young as their 20s had abnormal medial meniscal scans while 90% of scans were abnormal for pain-free individuals in their 70s.21

Another interesting note is that the medial meniscus firmly adheres to the deep surface of the medial collateral ligament (MCL), an important stabilizing ligament.22 Therefore injury to the medial meniscus will very often also result in injury and sprain to the MCL. The cause of the knee pain may be the MCL sprain, but MCL sprains are usually not addressed, especially if the MRI shows a meniscal tear. This could explain pain persisting after meniscal surgery. Clearly, the presence of meniscal tears on MRI needs to be correlated to an individual’s pain complaint. Pain may not be related to the abnormal findings on an MRI, but rather may be due to ligament or tendon injury or sprain/strain. In fact, individuals with abnormal MRI’s showing meniscal tears have successfully been treated with prolotherapy. It is unclear whether prolotherapy has any direct effect on meniscal tissue, and this has not been specifically studied. However, even when patients have these meniscal abnormalities on MRI, they often improve after prolotherapy treatment.

Tendonitis vs. Tendonosis
Tendonitis is defined as “an inflammatory condition of a tendon, usually resulting from strain.”23 If the condition has gone on longer than 6 weeks, it is sometimes called chronic tendonitis. However, biopsies of “chronic tendonitis” tissue have shown lack of inflammatory cells and repair, but rather collagen degeneration occurring.24 Therefore for this reason, in recent years the word “tendonosis” (“osis” meaning diseased or abnormal condition) is being used in the medical literature to describe what has previously been known as chronic tendonitis, and which some authors believe may be a more accurate diagnosis. In this type of tendinopathy, inflammation is no longer occurring and collagen breakdown is the primary problem. Traditional treatments include NSAID’s and corticosteroids yet studies provide little evidence that these treatments are helpful.25 Therefore treatment should target the stimulation of collagen production rather than the elimination of inflammation since the latter may not even be present.26 Prolotherapy is a more reasonable treatment option since the focus is to stimulate the proliferation of fibroblasts which then stimulate collagen repair and proliferation. With prolotherapy, the tendonosis is turned into a tendonitis (on purpose) in order to reactivate the repair process and create a stronger tendon.29

Osgood-Schlatter Disease
Osgood-Schlatter disease is one of the most common sports-limiting orthopedic conditions in adolescent athletes.20 It is thought to be caused by small, usually unnoticed, injuries to the patellar tendon as it connects to the articular cartilage on the tibial tuberosity, caused by repeated overuse before growth of the area is complete. This disorder is seen most often in active, athletic adolescents, usually between ages 10 and 15, and is common in adolescents who play soccer, basketball, volleyball, and gymnastics. It is now believed to be a degenerative condition “osis,” rather than an inflammatory “itis,” and explains why arthritis anti-inflammatory medications offer no long-term benefit.20 Prolotherapy has effectively been used to treat this condition, and offers new hope to this previously difficult to treat condition. Research is currently ongoing and volunteers are being recruited for a clinical trial. More information regarding these trials and patient eligibility are available at the website www.drreevesonline.com.
Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury

The Anterior Cruciate Ligament (ACL) is an important ligament for anterior-posterior stability of the knee. An estimated 200,000 ACL-related injuries occur annually in the United States, with the highest incidence in those who participate in pivoting sports such as soccer, volleyball, and basketball. Thirty percent of these injuries are a result of direct contact with an object or another player, while 70% do not involve direct contact and the basic injury mechanism may be elusive. Risk factors involve activities involving deceleration, pivoting, awkward landings, shoe-surface interactions, and other mechanical environmental factors. While ACL injuries are a very common knee injury, they often do not heal well. This is because the blood supply is from within the ligament itself, not from around it, and when the ligament is torn the blood supply is commonly disrupted during the injury. If the ACL is completely ruptured, surgery is needed. However, for partial ACL injury, prolotherapy is a reasonable treatment option and should be considered prior to surgery. As discussed above, Reeves et al. demonstrated the effectiveness of prolotherapy for ACL laxity. MRI studies have not been shown to be as accurate as one might think in the differentiation of complete and partial ACL tears; therefore correlation between history, physical exam, and MRI is important in determining who is a candidate for prolotherapy.

Medial Collateral Sprain

The medial collateral ligament (MCL) (also called tibial collateral ligament) is an important stabilizing ligament of the knee. The MCL sprain is a common injury, especially in sports but this injury can also occur in the non-athlete. The classical mechanism of a medial collateral ligament is a force hitting the lateral aspect of a partly flexed and externally rotated knee—such as would occur with a soccer or football player who receives a kick or blow at the outer side of a weight-bearing knee. The patient experiences a crack and feels a sudden pain at the inner aspect of the knee. Most of the pain disappears relatively quickly and, at first, the knee is not swollen. However, increasing pain and swelling starts after a few hours. By the next day, the patient can hardly stand. This improves over a period of time and, after 2 to 3 months, should be completely resolved. If any residual pain exists, the ligament has likely been permanently lengthened, resulting in an unstable knee. As discussed above, leaving an unstable ligament will result in a change in biomechanics and development of osteoarthritis. Prolotherapy can be used in this situation to repair the overstretched ligament and stimulate healing so that stability is restored.

Coronary Ligament Sprain

These small, but very important, ligaments hold the outside edge of the meniscus to the tibial plateau. They are very commonly injured but mostly go undiagnosed because the localization of the pain and nature of the onset resemble a meniscus lesion or a sprain of the medial collateral ligament. These injuries can be effectively treated with prolotherapy.

Pes Anserinus Tendinitis

The pes anserinus group of tendons attach at the medial knee and are a very common area of injury and source of pain in all age groups. The pes anserinus is the combined tendon insertions of three muscles (sartorius, gracilis, and semitendinosus) at the anteromedial aspect of the proximal tibia. This tendinitis is sometimes misdiagnosed as pes anserinus bursitis, however bursitis in this location is rare. Pes anserinus tendinitis is very common in older individuals, and may remain after knee replacement surgery. Pes anserinus tendinitis is easily treated with prolotherapy.

Patellar Tendonopathy

Tendonitis around the patella is a typical overuse injury in sports such as volleyball, basketball, cycling, and high-jump. Three possible sites exist: the upper border (suprapatellar), the apex, which is the classical “jumper’s knee” (infrapatellar), and at either side of the patella (tendonitis of the quadriceps expansion). Patient history typically includes localized pain at the front of the knee during or after exertion. In severe cases, there is pain at rest with less severe cases exhibiting only minor pain after exercise. The patient also states that walking upstairs or getting up from a chair is painful. Physical exam is usually normal. MRI diagnosis is not very helpful in this diagnosis and adds little guidance to patient management. Patellar tendonitis can progress to tendonosis and make its management more recalcitrant. Again, prolotherapy can be effective in treating this tendonitis/tendonosis.

Patellofemoral Pain Syndrome (PFPS)

Patellofemoral pain is the most common cause of anterior knee pain, usually presenting with vague symptoms of pain “in,” “under,” or “behind” the patella or in the peri-patellar area. Symptoms are exacerbated by activities such as running, descending stairs, and squatting, as well as prolonged sitting with the knee in a flexed position (“theatre sign”). Twenty-five percent of the population, at some stage in their lives, suffer from this condition. Despite this, there is little agreement on the terminology, etiology, or treatment. The term “chondromalacia patellae” is sometimes used, but is now reserved for a small subset of anterior knee pain with documented softening of the patellar articular cartilage. There is little evidence to support the use of knee braces or NSAIDS in PFPS. This condition has been successfully treated with prolotherapy.

Typical Treatment Course

The average number of prolotherapy treatments needed is 4 to 6, with some patients needing more and some patients less. Individuals with more severe degenerative changes may require more treatments while teenagers often require less. Patients who have been on anti-inflammatoryatories prior to starting treatment may require additional treatments before improvement is noted. If no improvement whatsoever is noted by the patient after 3 to 4 treatments, there should be a re-evalu-
Contraindications

Active infection, cancer, non-reduced locations, or known allergy to any prolotherapy ingredients are contraindications to treatment, as is any known underlying illness which would interfere with healing. Acute gout or rheumatoid arthritides in the knee joint are also contraindications. Relative contraindications include current and long term use of high doses of narcotics as these medications can lower the immune response. Current use of systemic corticosteroids or NSAIDS are also relative contraindications as these are counter-productive to the inflammatory healing process.

Conclusion

Prolotherapy is a reasonable and conservative approach to knee tendinitis/tendonosis, knee sprain-strains, knee instability, diagnosis of meniscal tear, patellofemoral pain syndrome including chondromalacia patellae, as well as degenerative joint disease and osteoarthritides pain. Since prolotherapy is a treatment modality that provides a long term solution rather than just palliation, it should be considered in appropriate patients prior to long term narcotic therapy or surgical intervention.

Donna Alderman, DO is a graduate of Western University of Health Sciences, College of Osteopathic Medicine of the Pacific, in Pomona, California, with undergraduate degree from Cornell University in Ithaca, NY. She has extensive training in Prolotherapy and has been using Prolotherapy in her practice for ten years. Dr. Alderman is the Medical Director of Hemwall Family Medical Centers in California and can be reached through her website www.prolotherapy.com.
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